Acknowledgements

The Lake Erie Lakewide Management Plan (LaMP) Work Group, under the direction of the Lake Erie LaMP Management Committee, prepared the Lake Erie LaMP 2002 Report. Environment Canada and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency are the federal co-leads for the Lake Erie LaMP. The other agencies playing an active role in the process are:

Canada

- o Fisheries and Oceans Canada
- o FOCALerie (Federation of Conservation Authorities of Lake Erie)
- o Health Canada
- o Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs
- o Ontario Ministry of the Environment
- o Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources

United States

- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
- o Michigan Department of Environmental Quality
- o Michigan Department of Natural Resources
- o Natural Resource Conservation Service
- o New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
- o Ohio Department of Natural Resources
- o Ohio Environmental Protection Agency
- o Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection
- o U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
- o U.S. Geological Survey

Binational

Great Lakes Fisheries Commission



i

Members of the Work Group, Management Committee, technical subcommittees, and the Binational Public Forum contributed to the content of this report. The Work Group would like to specifically thank the following individuals:

- Environment Canada Madeline Austen, Hans Biberhofer, Murray Charlton,
 Ken De, Sandra George, Bob Krawl, Marlene O'Brien, Scott Painter, Jeff
 Robinson, Jennifer Vincent, Alan Waffle, Chip Weseloh and Karen Yang;
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Paul Bertram, Sue Brauer, Alexis Cain, Tony Martig, Francine Norling, Dan O'Riordan, John Perrecone and Holly Wirick;
- o FOCALerie Ted Briggs, Matthew Child and Barb Veale;
- o U.S. Geological Survey Mike Bur, Dan Button and Donna Myers;
- o Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection Kelly Burch;
- o University of Windsor Jan Ciborowski and Lynda Corkum;
- o Ontario Ministry of the Environment Al Hayton and Gary Johnson;
- o Ohio Department of Natural Resources Roger Knight and Mark Shieldcastle;
- Ohio Environmental Protection Agency Julie Letterhos, Kelvin Rogers and Roger Thoma;
- o Michigan Department of Environmental Quality Arthur Ostaszewski;
- o Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs Peter Roberts;
- o Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources Phil Ryan;
- o Bird Studies Canada Steve Timmermans and Kerry Wilcox; and
- o New York Department of Environmental Conservation Robert Townsend.

Thanks to the Upper Thames River Conservation Authority for providing support on formatting and printing the report, and for showing utmost patience in dealing with last minute changes.

Finally, the Work Group would like to thank Julie Letterhos, Ohio EPA, and Jennifer Vincent, Environment Canada, who served as co-editors for the Lake Erie LaMP 2002 Report.

In keeping with the spirit of binational cooperation, the reader will note the alternation between Canadian and U.S. preferred spellings on a number of occasions.

::

Acknowledgements

iii

Table of Contents

Table of Co	ntents	iii		
Lists of Figu	ures and Tables	v		
Section 1:	ion 1: Introduction			
Section 2:	Ecosystem Management Objectives 2.1 Ecosystem Alternative Selection 2.2 Selection of Ecosystem Management Objectives 2.3 Indicators			
Section 3:	Beneficial Use Impairments Update 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Degraded Wildlife Populations and Loss of Wildlife Hal 3.3 Next Steps 3.4 Fish BUIA Update			
Section 4:	 A Habitat Strategy for Lake Erie 4.1 Introduction 4.2 Habitat Strategy Development 4.3 Agricultural Non-point Source Runoff 4.4 Urban and Development Land Use Controls 4.5 Habitat Preservation 4.6 Habitat Restoration 4.7 Exotic Species Control 4.8 Bioregional Strategic and Management Planning 4.9 Upcoming Habitat Events 	17 17 18 21 21 21 22 23 24		
Section 5:	 Sources and Loads Update 5.1 Introduction 5.2 Mercury and PCB Reduction Initiatives 5.3 U.S. EPA Mercury Phase-out Proposal 	25 25 29 29		
Section 6:	Human Health	35		
Section 7:	Public Involvement	37		
Section 8:	Assessment and Tracking Progress 8.1 Introduction	39		
	8.2 The Lake Erie Millennium Plan	39		
	8.3 Marsh Monitoring Program	40		
	8.4 Trends in Contaminants in Ontario's Lake Erie Sport Fish	43		
	8.5 Trends in Contaminant and Population Levels of Colonial Waterbirds	48		
	8.6 Ohio Lake Erie Quality Index	50		
	• •	51		
	y E			
	8.8 U.S. EPA GIS Tool to Characterize Landscapes Based on Ecosystem Health	51		
	8.9 State of the Lakes Ecosystem Conference (SOLEC)	51		

Section 9:		Remedial Action Plan Updates	53			
		9.1 Introduction	53			
		9.2 Buffalo River RAP	54			
		9.3 Presque Isle Bay RAP	54			
		9.4 Ashtabula River RAP	55			
		9.5 Cuyahoga River RAP	56			
		9.6 Black River RAP	56			
		9.7 Maumee River RAP	57			
		9.8 River Raisin RAP	58			
		9.9 Rouge River RAP	58			
		9.10 Detroit River RAP	59			
		9.11 Wheatley Harbour RAP	60			
		9.12 Clinton River RAP	61			
		9.13 St. Clair River RAP	62			
Section 10	10:	Significant Ongoing and Emerging Issues	63			
		10.1 Introduction	63			
		10.2 Update on Non-indigenous Invasive Species in Lake Erie	63			
		10.3 Botulism E	67			
		10.4 Phosphorus Changes in Lake Erie	68			
		10.5 Double Crested Cormorants in the Great Lakes	69			
		10.6 Lake Erie Water Levels	70			
Section	11:	Pathways to Achievements/Next Steps				
Section	12:	References				



List of Figures

Figure 1:	Total PCBs in Bed Sediments	26			
Figure 2:	Total Mercury in Bed Sediments				
Figure 3:	Surficial Sediment Concentrations of Dioxin (pg/g TEQ)				
Figure 4:	Lake Erie Basin-wide Trends in Relative Abundance of Selected	41			
	Marsh Bird and Amphibian Species Compared to Mean Annual				
	Water Levels of Lake Erie from 1995 to 2000				
Figure 5:	Lake Erie Blocks	43			
Figure 6:	Mercury Concentrations in 30 cm (12 inch) White Bass	44			
	Across Lake Erie 1990-2000				
Figure 7:	Mercury Concentrations in 45 cm (18 inch) Walleye Across	44			
	Lake Erie 1990-2000				
Figure 8:	Mercury Concentrations in 30 cm (12 inch) White Bass	45			
	Over Time in Lake Erie Block 1				
Figure 9:	Mercury Concentrations in 45 cm (18 inch) Walleye	45			
	Over Time in Lake Erie Block 1				
Figure 10:	Mercury Concentration vs. Length in Walleye and Bass	45			
	from Lake Erie Block 1				
Figure 11:	PCB Concentrations in 30 cm (12 inch) White Bass	46			
	Across Lake Erie 1990-2000				
Figure 12:	PCB Concentrations in 30 cm (12 inch) White Bass	46			
	Over Time in Lake Erie Block 1				
Figure 13:	PCB Concentrations in 45 cm (18 inch) Channel Catfish	46			
	in Lake Erie Block 1				
Figure 14:	PCB Concentrations in 65 cm (25 inch) Carp in Lake Erie Block 1	47			
Figure 15:	PCB Concentration vs. Length in Fish from Lake Erie Block 1	47			
Figure 16:	2378-TCDD in Herring Gull Eggs - Middle I., 1987- 2001	48			
Figure 17:	PCB 1:1 in Herring Gull Eggs - Port Colborne, 1974-2001	49			
Figure 18:	Map of Areas of Concern Around the Lake Erie Basin	53			
Figure 19:	Frequency of Dead Fish Species Observed Along NY Lake Erie	67			
	Beaches, September, 2001				
Figure 20:	Percent Mortality on NY Lake Erie Shoreline by Species	68			
	Observed - Fall 2000				
Figure 21:	Number of Cormorant Nests on Lake Erie	69			
Figure 22:	Recent and Historic Lake Erie Water Levels	71			
Figure 23:	Monthly Water Level Bulletin Including 6 Month Forecast	71			

List of Tables

Table 1:	Summary of Lake Erie Ecosystem Alternatives	3
Table 2:	Summary of Beneficial Use Impairment Conclusions from Lake Erie	9
	LaMP 2000 (updates for 2002 are noted in italics)	
Table 3:	Summary of Lake Erie Wildlife Habitats and Their Impairment Status	12
Table 4:	Rural Clean Water Programs in the Ontario Lake Erie Basin	19
Table 5:	PCB Reduction Plan Activities Update	30
Table 6:	Mercury Reduction Plan Activities Update	31
Table 7:	Summary of Ongoing Monitoring Efforts in Lake Erie in 2000	39
Table 8:	Ohio Lake Erie Quality Index Indicators	50
Table 9:	Lake Erie LaMP Work Plan 2002 - 2004	74